## **EXHIBIT**

E

Declaration of Peter C. Salerno In Support of Defendant Yassin Kadi's Motion To Exclude the Testimony of Victor Comras

03 MDL 1570

July 31, 2023

Case 1:03-md-01570-GBD-SN Document 9251-5 Filed 07/31/23 Page 2 of 6

## FLAWED JIPLOMACY

Exhibit 1002



HE UNITED NATIONS & THE VAR ON TERRORISM

VICTOR D. COMRAS

enforce and strengthen . . . the measures imposed under domestic laws or regulations against their nationals and other individuals or entities operating in their territory, to prevent and punish violations." The resolution also pressed once again for full compliance with the committee's reporting requirements. Reports were to be expanded to include "all steps taken to implement the measures . . . and all related investigations and enforcement actions, including a comprehensive summary of the forcement actions are under the measures of listed individuals and entities within the Member State territories.

While several additional countries provided compliance reports to the committee, few contained useful information on what was actually being done to que, the terrorists. The committee continued to complain that it was "running bline" on matters of country implementation of the required measures. Finally, in July 2008, the Security Council directed the committee to take firmer action, including replicating the approach that had been taken by the disbanded monitoring group to explore and report to the Security Council on specific country failings or lack to compliance.

The committee's primary task in managing an effective sanctions regime against al Qaeda and the Taliban involves maintaining a comprehensive and updated Consolidated List of key members and associates of al Qaeda and the Taliban. But this process proved daunting from the start. Despite being charged with administering the Consolidated List, the committee has no authority to place names on the list without being requested to do so by a member state. Unfortunately, fer countries have been willing to present names to the committee for designation. Most remain reluctant to present information to the committee concerning theorem nationals or those within their midst. This has placed the primary burden of proposing designations on the United States, with only a few other countries chiming in from time to time. The United States, for its part, has drawn heavily from its own domestic list of global terrorists and terrorist organizations. A request of permit the independent Monitoring Group to propose names was turned down.

Additions to the Consolidated List require unanimous committee approve The member country proposing the designation is supposed to provide sufficient evidentiary information to establish membership in al Qaeda or the Taliban, or to otherwise demonstrate that the proposed individual or entity is "associated with" a Qaeda or the Taliban. While the country proposing the designation and the country where the designated individual resides are encouraged to inform the parties con-

Early versions of the Consolidated and only rudimentary information confided aleaders and financiers. The infinited States, sometimes in conjunction without much ado. However, over the substantive justifications for each few significantly slowed down the lift of few new names per quarter. A substantial few new names per quarter. A substantial few new names per quarter for the are still not on the list.

Over time the list has also fallen s - Leda and Taliban leaders have been And little has been done to updat is se listed. One complaint regularl == are quite common and there is ir := an adequate basis for freezing asse and over the past several years dependent on member countries and few countries have done so. By the end of 2002, the Consolidate Timed 152 Taliban members and 80 - mittee had also designated 91 orga are 2010, the list included 137 Talil - 1155, or material supporters of al O = ed to be associated with al Qaeda. - Terent branches, or different appellati-Ine of the earliest issues to arise v 2. Qaeda." Some governments initia - nity to squelch their own local inst is problem was partially resolved by 1617, which specified that

ests or activities indicating that an inc associated with" Al-Qaeda, Usama b

95

ndividuals or entities operating in their term. The resolution also pressed once again for reporting requirements. Reports were to be implement the measures . . . and all relates. including a comprehensive summary mitities within the Member State territories s provided compliance reports to the common what was actually being done to que do complian that it was "running bline of the required measures. Finally, in Juncommittee to take firmer action, including aken by the disbanded monitoring group these on specific country failings or lack to

managing an effective sanctions regime ses maintaining a comprehensive and uris and associates of al Qaeda and the Taifrom the start. Despite being charged with committee has no authority to place names so by a member state. Unfortunately, few names to the committee for designation mation to the committee concerning their ist. This has placed the primary burden of ares, with only a few other countries chimstates, for its part, has drawn heavily from s and terrorist organizations. A request to 17 to propose names was turned down.25 require unanimous committee approval ignation is supposed to provide sufficien: mbership in al Qaeda or the Taliban, or to individual or entity is "associated with" al proposing the designation and the country are encouraged to inform the parties conand of the measures imposed against them, this is still left to national discretion and has not become a regular practice.

Early versions of the Consolidated List were put together with haste and inlied only rudimentary information covering the most well-known Taliban and Queda leaders and financiers. The initial batch proposed for designation by the titled States, sometimes in conjunction with other member countries, was acceptwithout much ado. However, over time, committee members began to demand title substantive justifications for each listing. This, and other factors discussed the list, significantly slowed down the listing process, which by 2006 had fallen to the process, and groups now contributing financially or otherwise to their terrorist efts, are still not on the list.

Over time the list has also fallen seriously out of date. Several of the listed. Qaeda and Taliban leaders have been captured or killed. Others have been reticed. And little has been done to update accompanying identification information those listed. One complaint regularly heard about the list is that many of the times are quite common and there is insufficient identification specificity to prote an adequate basis for freezing assets or to inhibit travel. The committee has taked hard over the past several years to address these problems, but it remains the past several years to address these problems, but it remains the past several years to address these problems, but it remains the past several years to address these problems, but it remains the past several years to address these problems, but it remains the past several years to address these problems, but it remains the past several years to address these problems, but it remains the past several years to address these problems, but it remains the past several years to address these problems, but it remains the past several years to address these problems, but it remains the past several years to address these problems, but it remains the past several years to address these problems, but it remains the past several years to address these problems, but it remains the past several years to address these problems, but it remains the past several years to address these problems, but it remains the past several years to address these problems, but it remains the past several years to address these problems, but it remains the past several years to address these problems.

By the end of 2002, the Consolidated List maintained by the 1267 Committee intained 152 Taliban members and 80 members or associates of al Qaeda. The immittee had also designated 91 organizations and other entities. At last count, June 2010, the list included 137 Taliban members and some 257 members, associates, or material supporters of al Qaeda. It also included 103 named entities relieved to be associated with al Qaeda. Many of these entities, however, represent inferent branches, or different appellations of the same organizations.<sup>28</sup>

One of the earliest issues to arise was defining what constituted "association with al Qaeda." Some governments initially viewed the Consolidated List as an opertunity to squelch their own local insurgencies, or to otherwise taint opposition groups, providing names that had nothing to do with either the Taliban or al Qaeda. This problem was partially resolved by the Security Council in 2005 with Resolution 1617, which specified that

acts or activities indicating that an individual, group, undertaking, or entity is "associated with" Al-Qaeda. Usama bin Ladin or the Taliban include:

at more deliberative process in revieur on also establishes a new ombudsperson the mailbox process. 50 This ombudsperson er information from petitioners and process from the monitoring team and country prized to prepare a report to the Al Carazing this information as well as the process, while an improvement over well short of the due process guaranters.

entation of Resolution 1904 provided ting. Under the first, the person requested ent espousing the delisting claim before ould address an appeal to the commended within the United Nations Secretary esponsibility for reviewing and advisuate the request to the appropriate country the request to the appropriate country would forward such recommended to the chair of the Al Qaeda and the justification for delisting. The commended would be considered denied under this system, was not advocated of the flow of relevant material to a

the Ombudsperson. Such submissingers and other relevant countries, as we equest that it provide any information to ombudsperson within two months arbudsperson and the petitioner seeking information in hand, the ombudspers rese. The report would be placed on the tits receipt. After discussion, the common approve the delisting petition. Appendix of committee members.

It remains to be seen just what effect the committee's new delisting procedures will have on the Al Kadi case and other challenges to the designation process way in courts in Europe and elsewhere. If the views of Maduro are upheld, the procedural reforms contained in Resolution 1904 will likely prove inadequate. It his would necessitate further Security Council action to preserve the utility the al Qaeda and Taliban designations. Any such further reforms would have the into consideration the importance of impeding terrorist mobility and funding the sensitivities of intelligence gathering, which is essential to the designation refers the right of those designated to be heard in their defense; and the need for the procedure of the procedure.

In any case, special care must be given to ensuring that adequate informatis presented to justify designation. While only a very few individuals have erroneously or mistakenly designated (and they have since been delisted), are absence of transparency, and of independent or third-party review procedures, that cast doubts concerning the legitimacy of the designation process. Perhaps the efficient experience can serve as a model in this respect.

The United States maintains several different lists of designated individuals are entities that are administered in conjunction with various U.S. sanctions programs. Designations are made pursuant to specific powers granted by Congress to president, who, in turn, has delegated them to various members of his cabinet. The process is considered as an executive administrative action, and is subject to administrative and judicial review and restraints. The standard for judicial with its ewin such cases relates to whether the action is based on "reasonable cause." This standard may not rise to the high standard required for criminal convictions, the ensures that designations are not imposed in an arbitrary or capricious manters. Likewise, UN guidelines should also ensure that there is sufficient information tesent, and shared with member countries, to demonstrate at least a sufficient reason to believe" that those designated fall within the Security Council resolutin's purview as members or associates of al Qaeda and the Taliban.

Renewed confidence in the al Qaeda committee procedures could only result a win-win situation for all. Such renewed regard for the list would certainly reip reinforce its utility and effectiveness as a tool against terrorism and terrorism francing.

While the criticality of the Consolidated List to the applications of sanctions against al Qaeda and the Taliban cannot be understated, the real test of the mea-

NOTES

233

).
to Target Terrorism," U.S. Department of

The state of the s

olution 1390 and the work of the 1267 onitoring Group is described in chapter 5.

5 ON

- of economic sanctions on the enjoymen: nomic and Social Council, UN Doc. E
- wwn as the Al Qaeda and Taliban Sanctions gentina), Alfonso Valdivieso (Colombia), gentina), Johan Verbeke (Belgium), Jar. (Austria).
- UN Security Council Resolution 1363 1, and directed to the group to monitor uant to resolutions 1267and 1333.
- ng a short list of aircraft identified as Security Council Committee Established ng Afghanistan."
- the Security Council in Resolution 1333 Afghanistan border was only approved a
- y Resolution 1267 (1999) Concerning release, AFG/131, UN Doc. SC/7028.
- e is the son of Jalaluddin Haqqani, former ner and son are believed to remain active al areas of northwestern Pakistan.
- ommittee to specifically include on its ers of the Al-Qaeda organization and the kings and entities associated with them."

  L'N Security Council Resolution 1390
- wn that it is very difficult for the Security d highly politicized forum, to take any rare instances in which a country has olicies. Several experts have pointed out ty Council measures can only be carried ated from such political and diplomatic
- n chapter 6. *Ial Hubris: Why the West is Losing the* i04), 63.

- 15. A memorandum prepared by the Congressional Research Service in 2004 indicates that between September 11, 2001, and March 31, 2004 at least ten major terrorist attacks were carried out by groups linked to al Qaeda, http://www.fas.org/irp/crs/033104.pdf.
- 16. The specially equipped airliner was able to take emergency avoidance action.
- 17. See Security Council resolutions 1438 (2002), 1440 (2002), 1450 (2002), and 1516 (2003). Syria was the only Security Council member to vote against Resolution 1450, which involved condemnation of an attack in Kenya against Israeli interests. See also statement by the president of the Security Council, UN Doc. S/PRST/2003/13, August 20, 2003.
- For a general description of terrorist activities since September 11, 2001, see Department of State, Global Patterns of Terrorism, 2001–2003, and Department of State, Country Reports on Terrorism, 2004-2008.
- 19. Several accounts indicate that the attack actually involved two separate teams. The first team reportedly had entered the school the night before and emerged from hiding after the second team had encircled the school. See S. Banovac, et al., "Anatomy of a Terrorist Attack: Terror at Beslan," Ridgeway Center Paper 15 (2007), http://www.isn. ethz.ch/isn/Digital-Library/Publications/Detail/?ots591=0c54e3b3-1e9c-be1e-2c24-a6a8c7060233&lng=en&id=50175.
- For a detailed account of what actually transpired during these two days, see C. J. Chivers, "The School," *Esquire*, March 14, 2007, http://www.esquire.com/features/ ESQ0606BESLAN 140.
- 21. See "Russian security officials say 10 of Beslan school raiders identified," Associated Press. September 9, 2004, http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi\_qn4188/is\_20040909/ai\_n11472902. The impact of the Beslan massacre on UN actions against terrorism is explored further in chapter 6 with regard to the work of the Security Council's CTC.
- 22. Security Council Verbatim Record, UN Doc. SPV/4892 (2004).
- 23. UN Security Council Resolution 1455 (2003).
- 24. The United States was a listing requestor in almost 80 percent of the names on the UN Consolidated List.
- 25. The Monitoring Group requested such authority in its report to the Security Council dated December 2, 2003. See para. 197 of the second report of the Monitoring Group established pursuant to Resolution 1363 (2001) and extended by Resolution 1390 (2002), UN Doc. S/2003/1070.
- 26. In its first report to the Security Council, dated May 15, 2002, the Monitoring Group noted widespread dissatisfaction with the designation process and the Consolidated List. This included complaints with regard to inaccuracies and the insufficiency of identifying information contained in the list, as well as concerns with the methodology used in putting the list together. Of particular concern was the lack of transparency and information sharing to bolster the rationale for such listing.
- 27. UN Security Council Resolution 1822 (2008) directed that the al Qaeda committee undertake a comprehensive review of all names on the Consolidated List with a view to updated information on the list. This work was to be completed by June 30, 2010. The agreed procedures call upon the designating states and the states of residence and nationality to assist in this process.
- 28. Briefing by H. E. Mr. Thomas Mayr-Harting, Chairman of the Security Council Committee established pursuant to Resolution 1267 (1999) concerning al Qaeda and the Taliban and Associated Individuals and Entities, to the Security Council on November 13, 2009 (as published on the 1267 Committee's official website).
- 29. UN Security Council Resolution 1617 (2005).
- 30. Ibid.
- 31. Conras, "UN Terrorist Designation System Needs Reform," *Perspectives on Terrorism* 2, no. 10, http://www.terrorismanalysts.com/pt/index.php?option=com\_rokzine&view=article&id=62.